

Cultural Ecosystem Services in a Retro(per)spective

Dipl-Ing. Linda Szűcs, Dipl-Geogr. Ulrike Anders, Prof. Dr. Renate Bürger-Arndt

Faculty of Forest Sciences and Forest Ecology, Department of Nature Conservation and Landscape Management Graduate School Interdisciplinary Environmental History Georg-August University of Göttingen



I. INTRODUCTION

The Ecosystem Services (ES) debate plays a crucial role in conservation science and practice. The concept of ES aims the valuation of multiple services of ecosystems and landscapes, the identification of trade-offs among different land-use scenarios, and encourages decision making in land use planning (Schaich et al 2010). The Millenium Ecosystem Assessment defines Cultural Ecosystem Services (CES) as "non material benefits which people obtain from ecosystem through spiritual enrichment, cognitive development, reflection, recreation, and aesthetic experiences (MEA, 2003).

To communicate and discuss the relevance of CES, it is essential to find interpretation methods to visualize them. This requires also the understanding of the historical evolution of landscapes as well. Within this issue we consider CES in a retrospective way and visualize landscape changes in the urban forest of Göttingen, called Hainberg in 3 time periods: before (1878), during (1910) and after (2013) afforestation processes.

II. AIMS



1878

To show the relevance of landscape visualization as a tool to understand Cultural Ecosystem Services,



Table 1. The presence of CES in the Hainberg before (1790-1878), during (1879-1910) and after (2013) afforestation processes. The case studies have been numbered for the interpretation in GIS (Figure 1-2-3).

III. METHODOLOGY

We have used the interdisciplinary approach to analyze and visualize Cultural Ecosystem Services. This approach requires historical knowledge, geographical planning knowledge, and advanced software skills. Thus, we have used historical maps, old photographs, copper

engravings, paintings, as well as contemporary and secondary literature. The historical and actual maps referring to the three time intervals (1878, 1910, 2013) have been digitalized in ArcGIS and visualized with the interactive real-time visualization software Biosphere3D.

Our methodology is based on the following steps:



CÖTTINGEN



Figure 1-3. CES for the 3 Time intervals mapped in ArcGIS. Land use changes and CES can be observed in parallel.



IV. RESULTS

1. DEFINE CES & INDICATORS





Figure 4-5. Case study of Bismarckturm visualized with Biosphere 3D during the forestations (1890) and today (2013)) (L.Szücs & J.Mülder)

References:

MERKEL, G. (1882) Die Aufforstung der öden Kalkhöhen des Hainbergs in den Jahren 1871 – 1882, Göttingen

SAATHOFF, A. (1964) Göttingens Hainberg: In DR. FREISE: Göttingen die Universitätsstadt im Grünen, Zweckverband Wirtschaftsraum Stadt und Landkreis Göttingen, Gebr. Wurm KG Göttingen

SCHAICH, H., BIELING, C. & PLIENINGER, T. (2010) Linking Ecosystem Services with Cultural Landscape Research. GAIA - Ecological Perspectives for Science and Society 19 (4): 269-277

SCHMIDT C.F. (1854) Lithography from the City Museum of Göttingen (Poster Background)

THE MILLENIUM ECOSYSTEM ASSESSMENT (2005) Ecosystem and Human Well-being: A Framework for Assessment, Washington



GEORG-AUGUST-UNIVERSITÄT GÖTTINGEN

Graduate School Interdisciplinary Environmental History



Contact:Dipl - Ing. Linda Szűcs, Dipl-Geogr. Ulrike Anders
Georg-August University of Göttingen
Faculty of Forest Sciences and Forest Ecology,
Department of Nature Conservation and Landscape Management
Büsgenweg 3
D - 37077 Göttingen
Germany
Phone: +49 551 3922326
Fax: +49 551 393415
Email: Iszuecs@gwdg.de
Homepage: http://naturschutz.uni-goettingen.de